



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/499,014	02/04/2000	Dae-Young Kim	CX020003	9613	
23125 7	590 03/07/2003				
MOTOROLA INC AUSTIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW SECTION			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, KHAI		
7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD: TX32/PL02		2/PL02	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
AUSTIN, TX 78729			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2631		
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( )	V		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
,	09/499,014	KIM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	KHAI TRAN	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thirt ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 D	<u> ecember 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior appli</li></ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	, ,				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/499,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment B filed 12/31/02 has been entered. Claims 1-20 are pending in this Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olafsson (U.S. Pat. 6,163,570).

Regarding claims 1, 10, 12, Olafsson disclose a PCM modem including an analog modem coupled to a digital modem, a method for controlling the transmit power of the analog modem, comprising the steps of: comparing the transmit power level being less than or equal to the designated total transmit power limit (col. 11, lines 4-50). Olafsson fails to explicitly disclose a step of adjusting the transmit power level of the analog modem in accordance with the difference between the detected transmit power level and a desired transmit power level. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust or lower transmit power level after comparing the transmit power level and a desired transmit power level in order to satisfy a transmit power required by FCC. Olafsson fails to explicitly disclose a step of detecting or measuring the transmit power level of the analog modem.

However, in order to compare the transmit power level being less than or equal to the designated total transmit power limit as recited in col. 11, lines 4-50, the detecting or measuring step would be performed such as verify the total transmit power level (i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 09/499,014 Page 3

Art Unit: 2631

low or high power level) used to compare with the desired power level. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to detect the transmit power level prior to comparison performed.

Olafsson also discloses wherein the detecting and the adjusting are performed during design of a constellation see Fig. 1 and 4.

Regarding claims 2-3, Olafsson also discloses that a transmit power verification procedure and scheme enables and accurately verifies the transmit power of a signal point constellation set regardless of the computational resolution of the components used in the two modem devices (col. 2, line 58 to col.3, line 8). In order to verify the transmit power levels sent from the one modem to another modem, therefore, the transmit power is inherently set by either one of the modem devices (i.e., the analog modem or the digital modem).

Regarding claim 4, Olafsson discloses the PCM modem system adjusting the power level of the analog modem by transmitting mapping parameters including the equivalence classes used in the analog modem and wherein the transmit power level is proportional to the number of equivalence classes (col.7, lines 41-59, and col.8, lines 24-45, i.e., the modem 202 may lower the transmit power limit to ensure that its computational precision does not cause an erroneous acceptance or rejection of training points or a signal point constellation set designed by modem 204).

Regarding claim 5, Olafsson discloses wherein the digital modem sets the analog modem transmit power by changing the number of equivalence classes

Application/Control Number: 09/499,014 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

employed (col.7, line 42 to col.8, line 10, i.e., a power calculation element 242 computes the total average transmit power of the signal point constellations in accordance with the designated power formula 240 and in a similar manner as transmit power calculation element 222 (resident at modem 202), also see col.10, line 58 to col.11, line 3)).

Regarding claim 6, Olafsson further discloses wherein the digital modem estimates the transmit power of the analog modem during a startup mode (col.8, lines 11-45).

Regarding claim 7, Olafsson discloses the step of transmitting the difference between the detected power level and the desired power level to the digital modem for use by the digital in changing the number of equivalence classes employed, thus to adjust level of the analog modem transmitter (col.7, lines 41-59, and col.8, lines 24-45)

Regarding claim 8, Olafsson also discloses wherein the adjustment of the transmit power level of the analog modem is such as to maintain the transmit power level within FCC set limits (col.5, lines 23-35, i.e., the transmit power level with a regulatory limit -12 dBm0 FCC limit).

Regarding claim 9, Olafsson does not explicitly disclose that the adjusted transmit power level at the analog modem optimizes the PCM modem system by minimizing echo power to minimize noise components due to echo cancellation and by minimizing non-linearities and downstream performance degradation. However, Olafsson discloses that the transmit power level is adjusted at regulatory limit, such as

Application/Control Number: 09/499,014

Art Unit: 2631

the -12 dBm0 FCC (col.8, lines 24-45). Therefore, the adjustment of the transmit power

Page 5

level inherently minimizes the noise signal and also reduces the error signal.

Claims 10-11 are similar to claims 1-3. Therefore, claims 10-11 are rejected

under a similar rationale.

Regarding claim 13, Olafsson discloses the measured transmit power level is of

the analog modem as addressed in claim 1 such as the digital modem 202 receives the

transmit power level from the analog modem 204 and preforms a measurement on the

transmit power level.

Regarding claim 14, Olafsson also discloses the constellation being designed by

the digial modem (see Fig. 1).

Claims 15 -16 are similar to claims 4-5. Therefore, claims 15-16 are rejected

under a similar rationale.

Claims 17-20 are similar to claims 1, 4 and 5. Therefore, claims 17-20 are

rejected under a similar rationale.

Conclusion

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Art Unit: 2631

(703) 308-6743, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Khai Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-1876**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Chi Pham**, can be reached on **(703)** 305-4378.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Monanantun Khai Tran

Patent Examiner

KT March 6, 2003